# AUTONOMOUS BIOLUMINESCENT SYSTEMS: PROSPECTS FOR USE IN THE IMAGING OF LIVING ORGANISMS

Osipova ZM<sup>1,2</sup>, Shcheglov AS<sup>1,2</sup> ⊠, Yampolsky IV<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Shemyakin–Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Moscow, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russia

Bioluminescent systems are increasingly being used for the development of highly sensitive optical imaging techniques *in vivo*. However, it is necessary to inject expensive and unstable synthetic substrates (luciferins) before each analysis for most of the systems applied. Autonomous bacterial and fungal bioluminescent systems, that recently have become available for implementation in eukaryotic cells, in our opinion, may be developed into an effective tool in new technologies of bioluminescent imaging.

Keywords: bioluminescence, luciferase, luciferin, bioimaging, biomedical research, autonomous bioluminescence

Funding: the study was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant №17-14-01169).

Acknowledgements: we thank to the Center for Precision Genome Editing and Genetic Technologies for Biomedicine (Moscow) for the genetic research methods.

Author contribution: Osipova ZM, Shcheglov AS — literature analysis, article authoring; Yampolsky IV — study planning, manuscript editing.

Correspondence should be addressed: Alexander S. Shcheglov Miklukho-Maklaya, 16/10, Moscow, 117997; jukart@mail.ru

Received: 03.12.2019 Accepted: 12.12.2019 Published online: 19.12.2019

DOI: 10.24075/brsmu.2019.083

# АВТОНОМНЫЕ БИОЛЮМИНЕСЦЕНТНЫЕ СИСТЕМЫ: ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ В ИМИДЖИНГЕ ЖИВЫХ ОРГАНИЗМОВ

## 3. М. Осипова<sup>1,2</sup>, А. С. Щеглов<sup>1,2</sup> ⊠, И. В. Ямпольский<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Институт биоорганической химии имени М. М. Шемякина и Ю. А. Овчинникова РАН, Москва, Россия

<sup>2</sup> Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет имени Н. И. Пирогова, Москва, Россия

Биолюминесцентные системы все чаще применяют для разработки высокочувствительных оптических методов имиджинга *in vivo*. Однако при использовании популярных систем необходимо инъекционно вводить дорогие и малостабильные синтетические субстраты (люциферины) перед каждым анализом. Автономные системы бактерий и грибов, которые недавно стали доступны для работы с эукариотическими клетками, по нашему мнению, могут развиться в полноценный инструмент для создания новых технологий биолюминесцентного имиджинга.

Ключевые слова: биолюминесценция, люцифераза, люциферин, биоимиджинг, биомедицинские исследования, автономная биолюминесценция

Финансирование: исследование выполнено за счет гранта Российского научного фонда (проект №17-14-01169).

Благодарности: авторы признательны Центру высокоточного редактирования и генетических технологий для биомедицины (Москва) за помощь в проведении исследования.

Информация о вкладе авторов: З. М. Осипова, А. С. Щеглов — анализ литературы, написание статьи; И. В. Ямпольский — идея публикации, редактирование статьи.

Для корреспонденции: Александр Сергеевич Щеглов ул. Миклухо-Маклая, д. 16/10, г. Москва, 117997; jukart@mail.ru

Статья получена: 03.12.2019 Статья принята к печати: 12.12.2019 Опубликована онлайн: 19.12.2019 DOI: 10.24075/vrgmu.2019.083

The use of *in vivo* optical imaging as a visualization method is growing in modern biomedical research [1, 2]. A non-invasive investigation of animal objects takes place during bioimaging, in which light is emitted as a result of the oxidation of a luciferin molecule (a chemical reaction catalyzed by the protein luciferase), or in response to the excitation of a fluorescent protein by light from an external source. To date the most popular optical reporter proteins are fluorescent (GFP and its homologs of different colors) as well as bioluminescent proteins from insects (FLuc) and marine organisms (RLuc, GLuc). The scope of bioluminescent and fluorescent imaging in medicine based on these proteins is very wide and includes (but is not limited to) the study of gene functions, protein-protein interactions, pathological processes and oncogenesis, drug development, etc. both in cells and tissues, as well as in lab

animals real-time assays [3]. A huge variety of fluorescent proteins with different spectral properties available and a large functional toolset based on them (photoactivated, photoswitchable proteins and sensors) are the indisputable benefits of the existing fluorescence imaging technologies [4]. However, the use of fluorescent proteins requires the external source of light, resulting in the sharp decrease of sensitivity due to autofluorescence, phototoxicity and background noise. High-resolution imaging *in vivo* is usually accompanied by a complex invasive procedure [5]. Bioluminescent systems are free from such flaws and therefore successfully compete with fluorescent proteins. The resolution up to a single cell inside a living organism recently became available for bioluminescent imaging. Meanwhile, possible toxicity, low stability and high cost of synthetic luciferins (bioluminescent substrates), which have to be injected into the studied organism prior to every analysis, complicate bioluminescent imaging procedures.

The use of autonomously luminescent systems, for which the biosynthesis of luciferin can be reproduced by genetic engineering in the cells of the studied organism, can become an effective alternative to the existing *in vivo* bioimaging technologies. Unfortunately, among thousands (~10<sup>3</sup>) of glowing species and around 40 ( $\sim$ 10<sup>2</sup>) different bioluminescence mechanisms, only 10 luciferins' (glowing substrates) structures and 7 luciferases' gene families ( $\sim$ 10<sup>1</sup>) [6, 7] are discovered to date, and the complete pathway of luciferin biosynthesis is determined only for bacterial [3] and, more recently, for fungal [8] bioluminescent systems. There is some evidence that firefly D-luciferin in insects is synthesized from *p*-benzoquinone and *L*-cysteine [9], but proteins responsible for these processes have not yet been isolated. LRE protein, regenerating D-luciferin from oxyluciferin [10, 11], cannot become an only substitute for the complete biosynthesis pathway of a luminescent substrate from common metabolites. Thus only two luminescent systems are real candidates for the development of new autonomous imaging technologies for now.

### Bacterial bioluminescence: from prokaryotes to eukaryotes

Luminescent bacteria are the most widespread glowing organisms, being represented by both marine and terrestrial species. Bacterial bioluminescence is well studied: the cassette of five genes *luxCDABE* is responsible for the generation of light. LuxAB gene encodes a heterodimeric bacterial luciferase, luxC, luxD and luxE encode three proteins (reductase, transferase and synthase) which perform biosynthesis of the substrate (dodecanal) for bioluminescence reaction (Fig. 1A). Also, the frp gene encoding flavin reductase, responsible for the synthesis of flavin mononucleotide FMNH<sub>a</sub>, an essential component for the luminescence reaction, can also be included in the cassette [12]. Thus, bacterial bioluminescence could be completely transferred to a new organism and make it glow without the addition of luciferin from the outside. The dependence on the presence of FMNH<sub>a</sub> and fatty aldehydes, low brightness and, most importantly, the blue color of luminescence (490 nm), which is inconvenient for in vivo deep tissue imaging, are the main disadvantages of this system.

Heterologous gene expression of an autonomous bacterial luminescent system was quickly and successfully implemented in prokaryotic cells [13], however, the large size of the operon, its multigenic organization and the toxicity of the system to the host organism (due to the cytotoxicity of dodecanal) became a fundamental difficulty for its transfer to eukaryotic cells. To solve this problem a large-scale structural rearrangement of the operon was necessary. The first success in transferring autonomous bacterial luminescence to eukaryotes came with the transgenic luminous yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [14]. Efficient expression of genes was achieved by codon optimization and addition of linker regions. The choice of a thermostable luciferase of terrestrial species *Photorhabdus luminescens*, which remains active at 37 °C, instead of a marine one from *Vibrio harveyi*, was also important. Nevertheless, the glow was dim and unstable. The first successful adaptation and optimization of genes *luxAB* of bacterial luciferase for HEK293 cells was made after 2 years [15]. And finally, the first application of autonomous bacterial luminescence in mammalian cells for *in vivo* bioimaging with a sensitivity of about 20,000 cells was described in 2010 [16]. In parallel, first autonomously luminescent plants were obtained [17].

However, despite all the work done to further optimize and reduce the operon for its application in mammalian cells (for example, [18]), there is a limited number of examples of the use of bacterial bioluminescence for eukaryotic bioimaging [19-21] due to its low brightness in comparison with non-autonomous systems, for example, D-luciferin-dependent. Recently, after additional changes in the operon and the use of individual plasmids for optimized gene expression a new bacterial system "co Lux" was developed with the brightness sufficient for singlecell imaging of HEK293 cells and comparable to that of FLuc luciferase [22, 23]. Low concentration of long chain aldehyde does not lead to adverse toxic effects. The only noticeable effect was a decrease in NADPH concentration in luminous cells (due to its increased consumption during the bioluminescence reaction). The first example of autonomous bioimaging based on a fuse between LuxAB and yellow fluorescent protein YPET was also described [24].

## Autonomous luminescence from fungi: an alternative to bacteria

The study of luminous fungi has a long history. For the first time, the structure of fungal luciferin (3-hydroxyhispidin) was established in 2015 [25]. In 2018 fungal luciferase and the complete cycle of luciferin biosynthesis from a widespread secondary plant metabolite caffeic acid were described, followed by the application of this system to developing autonomously luminescent yeast [8] (Fig. 1B).

The luminescence of fungi is based on the genes *hisps*, *h3h*, *luz* (luciferase) and *cph*. *Hisps* and *h3h* encode the proteins that



Fig. 1. Biochemical cycles of autonomous bioluminescence in bacteria (A) and fungi (B)

### **OPINION I MOLECULAR BIOLOGY**

sequentially assemble hispidin from "caffeic acid" and luciferin (3-hydroxyhyspidin), respectively. *Luz* encodes luciferase, and *cph* is responsible for the protein which converts the product of luciferin oxidation (oxyluciferin) back into caffeic acid. It was shown recently that the expression of only three genes *hisps*, *h3h* and *luz* in *Nicotiana tabacum* plants is sufficient for the creation of bright, autonomously luminescent plants [26, 27]. Unlike the bacterial luminescent system, thorough codon optimization is not necessary to obtain transgenic plants, since the genetic material is originally taken from eukaryotes and the new biosynthetic cycle fits into the metabolism of the host organism.

The first example of autonomously glowing mammalian HEK293T cells based on fungal bioluminescence genes required the use of a mixture of eight plasmids [26]. The resulting low brightness and instability of the glow indicates the necessity to further optimize the genetic constructs to achieve maximum light emission. The total length of the currently used

### References

- Kiessling F, Pichler BJ, Hauff P, editors. Small animal imaging: Basics and practical guide. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing AG, 2017.
- Kubala E, Menzel MI, Feuerecker B, Glaser SJ, Schwaiger M. Molecular Imaging. In: Canales A, editor. Biophysical techniques in drug discovery. London: Royal Society of Chemistry, 2017; p. 277–306.
- Kaskova ZM, Tsarkova AS, Yampolsky IV. 1001 lights: luciferins, luciferases, their mechanisms of action and applications in chemical analysis, biology and medicine. Chem Soc Rev. 2016; 45 (21): 6048–77.
- Specht EA, Braselmann E, Palmer AE. A critical and comparative review of fluorescent tools for live-cell imaging. Annu Rev Physiol. 2017; (79): 93–117.
- Yeh HW, Ai HW. Development and applications of bioluminescent and chemiluminescent reporters and biosensors. Annu Rev Anal Chem. 2019; (12): 129–50.
- Shimomura O, Yampolsky I. Back matter. In: Shimomura O, Yampolsky I, editors. Bioluminescence: Chemical principles and methods. 3rd ed. Singapore: World Scientific Co. Pte. Ltd., 2019; p. 381–522.
- Kotlobay AA, Dubinnyi MA, Purtov KV, Guglya EB, Rodionova NS, et al. Bioluminescence chemistry of fireworm Odontosyllis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116 (38): 18911–6.
- Kotlobay AA, Sarkisyan KS, Mokrushina YA, Marcet-Houben M, Serebrovskaya EO, et al. Genetically encodable bioluminescent system from fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018; 115 (50): 12728–32.
- Kanie S, Nakai R, Ojika M, Oba Y. 2-S-cysteinylhydroquinone is an intermediate for the firefly luciferin biosynthesis that occurs in the pupal stage of the japanese firefly, Luciola lateralis. Bioorg Chem. 2018; (80): 223–9.
- Gomi K, Kajiyama N. Oxyluciferin, a luminescence product of firefly luciferase, is enzymatically regenerated into luciferin. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276 (39): 36508–13.
- 11. Cheng YY, Liu YJ. Luciferin regeneration in firefly bioluminescence via proton transfer facilitated hydrolysis, condensation and chiral inversion. Chem Phys Chem. 2019; (20): 1719–27.
- Tu SC. Activity coupling and complex formation between bacterial luciferase and flavin reductases. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2008; 7 (2): 183–8.
- Close DM, Xu T, Sayler GS, Ripp S. In vivo bioluminescent imaging (BLI): noninvasive visualization and interrogation of biological processes in living animals. Sensors. 2011; 11 (1): 180–206.
- 14. Gupta RK, Patterson SS, Ripp S, Simpson ML, Sayler GS. Expression of the Photorhabdus luminescens lux genes (luxA,

coding sequences of the bacterial bioluminescence system is 6.2 thousand base pairs (kb), whereas for the fungal system this value is about 12.8 kb, but it can be reduced to 9.6 kb in case of utilization of alternative enzymes. Meanwhile, a significant advantage of autonomous fungal bioluminescence is the maximum of luminescence at 540 nm (yellow), which makes this system more promising for *in vivo* bioimaging.

### CONCLUSION

The number of instruments for optical imaging in medical research is constantly increasing. In our opinion, more sensitive in vivo bioluminescent imaging in the future may compete with established fluorescence technologies. Actively studied at the moment, autonomous genetically encoded bioluminescent systems based on bacterial or fungal gene cassettes are able to be on a par with existing imaging methods.

B, C, D, and E) in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res. 2003; 4 (3): 305–13.

- Patterson SS, Dionisi HM, Gupta RK, Sayler GS. Codon optimization of bacterial luciferase (lux) for expression in mammalian cells. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2005; 32 (3): 115–23.
- Close DM, Patterson SS, Ripp S, Baek SJ, Sanseverino J, et al. Autonomous bioluminescent expression of the bacterial luciferase gene cassette (lux) in a mammalian cell line. PloS one. 2010; 5 (8): e12441.
- Krichevsky A, Meyers B, Vainstein A, Maliga P, Citovsky V. Autoluminescent plants. PloS one. 2010; 5 (11): e15461.
- Xu T, Ripp S, Sayler GS, Close DM. Expression of a humanized viral 2A-mediated lux operon efficiently generates autonomous bioluminescence in human cells. PLoS One. 2014; 9 (5): e96347.
- Class B, Thorne N, Aguisanda F, Southall N, McKew JC, et al. Highthroughput viability assay using an autonomously bioluminescent cell line with a bacterial lux reporter. J Lab Autom. 2015; 20 (2): 164–74.
- Xu T, Young A, Marr E, Sayler G, Ripp S, et al. A rapid and reagent-free bioassay for the detection of dioxin-like compounds and other aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) agonists using autobioluminescent yeast. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2018; 410 (4): 1247–56.
- Xu T, Kirkpatrick A, Toperzer J, Ripp S, Close D. Improving estrogenic compound screening efficiency by using selfmodulating, continuously bioluminescent human cell bioreporters expressing a synthetic luciferase. Toxicol Sci. 2019; 168 (2): 551–60.
- Gregor C, Gwosch KC, Sahl SJ, Hell SW. Strongly enhanced bacterial bioluminescence with the ilux operon for single-cell imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018; 115 (5): 962–7.
- 23. Gregor C, Pape JK, Gwosch KC, Gilat T, Sahl SJ, et al. Autonomous bioluminescence imaging of single mammalian cells with the bacterial bioluminescence system. bioRxiv. 2019: 798108.
- Srinivasan P, Griffin NM, Joshi P, Thakur DP, Nguyen-Le A, et al. An Autonomous Molecular Bioluminescent Reporter (AMBER) for voltage imaging in freely moving animals. bioRxiv. 2019: 845198.
- Purtov KV, Petushkov VN, Baranov MS, Mineev KS, Rodionova NS, et al. The chemical basis of fungal bioluminescence. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2015; 54 (28): 8124–8.
- Mitiouchkina T, Mishin AS, Somermeyer LG, Markina NM, Chepurnyh TV, et al. Plants with self-sustained luminescence. bioRxiv. 2019: 809376.
- Khakhar A, Starker C, Chamness J, Lee N, Stokke S. Building customizable auto-luminescent luciferase-based reporters in plants. bioRxiv. 2019: 809533.

#### Литература

- Kiessling F, Pichler BJ, Hauff P, editors. Small animal imaging: Basics and practical guide. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing AG, 2017.
- Kubala E, Menzel MI, Feuerecker B, Glaser SJ, Schwaiger M. Molecular Imaging. In: Canales A, editor. Biophysical techniques in drug discovery. London: Royal Society of Chemistry, 2017; p. 277–306.
- Kaskova ZM, Tsarkova AS, Yampolsky IV. 1001 lights: luciferins, luciferases, their mechanisms of action and applications in chemical analysis, biology and medicine. Chem Soc Rev. 2016; 45 (21): 6048–77.
- Specht EA, Braselmann E, Palmer AE. A critical and comparative review of fluorescent tools for live-cell imaging. Annu Rev Physiol. 2017; (79): 93–117.
- Yeh HW, Ai HW. Development and applications of bioluminescent and chemiluminescent reporters and biosensors. Annu Rev Anal Chem. 2019; (12): 129–50.
- Shimomura O, Yampolsky I. Back matter. In: Shimomura O, Yampolsky I, editors. Bioluminescence: Chemical principles and methods. 3rd ed. Singapore: World Scientific Co. Pte. Ltd., 2019; p. 381–522.
- Kotlobay AA, Dubinnyi MA, Purtov KV, Guglya EB, Rodionova NS, et al. Bioluminescence chemistry of fireworm Odontosyllis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116 (38): 18911–6.
- Kotlobay AA, Sarkisyan KS, Mokrushina YA, Marcet-Houben M, Serebrovskaya EO, et al. Genetically encodable bioluminescent system from fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018; 115 (50): 12728–32.
- Kanie S, Nakai R, Ojika M, Oba Y. 2-S-cysteinylhydroquinone is an intermediate for the firefly luciferin biosynthesis that occurs in the pupal stage of the japanese firefly, Luciola lateralis. Bioorg Chem. 2018; (80): 223–9.
- Gomi K, Kajiyama N. Oxyluciferin, a luminescence product of firefly luciferase, is enzymatically regenerated into luciferin. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276 (39): 36508–13.
- Cheng YY, Liu YJ. Luciferin regeneration in firefly bioluminescence via proton transfer facilitated hydrolysis, condensation and chiral inversion. Chem Phys Chem. 2019; (20): 1719–27.
- Tu SC. Activity coupling and complex formation between bacterial luciferase and flavin reductases. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2008; 7 (2): 183–8.
- Close DM, Xu T, Sayler GS, Ripp S. In vivo bioluminescent imaging (BLI): noninvasive visualization and interrogation of biological processes in living animals. Sensors. 2011; 11 (1): 180–206.
- 14. Gupta RK, Patterson SS, Ripp S, Simpson ML, Sayler GS. Expression of the Photorhabdus luminescens lux genes (luxA,

B, C, D, and E) in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res. 2003; 4 (3): 305–13.

- Patterson SS, Dionisi HM, Gupta RK, Sayler GS. Codon optimization of bacterial luciferase (lux) for expression in mammalian cells. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2005; 32 (3): 115–23.
- Close DM, Patterson SS, Ripp S, Baek SJ, Sanseverino J, et al. Autonomous bioluminescent expression of the bacterial luciferase gene cassette (lux) in a mammalian cell line. PloS one. 2010; 5 (8): e12441.
- 17. Krichevsky A, Meyers B, Vainstein A, Maliga P, Citovsky V. Autoluminescent plants. PloS one. 2010; 5 (11): e15461.
- Xu T, Ripp S, Sayler GS, Close DM. Expression of a humanized viral 2A-mediated lux operon efficiently generates autonomous bioluminescence in human cells. PLoS One. 2014; 9 (5): e96347.
- Class B, Thorne N, Aguisanda F, Southall N, McKew JC, et al. Highthroughput viability assay using an autonomously bioluminescent cell line with a bacterial lux reporter. J Lab Autom. 2015; 20 (2): 164–74.
- Xu T, Young A, Marr E, Sayler G, Ripp S, et al. A rapid and reagent-free bioassay for the detection of dioxin-like compounds and other aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) agonists using autobioluminescent yeast. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2018; 410 (4): 1247–56.
- Xu T, Kirkpatrick A, Toperzer J, Ripp S, Close D. Improving estrogenic compound screening efficiency by using selfmodulating, continuously bioluminescent human cell bioreporters expressing a synthetic luciferase. Toxicol Sci. 2019; 168 (2): 551–60.
- Gregor C, Gwosch KC, Sahl SJ, Hell SW. Strongly enhanced bacterial bioluminescence with the ilux operon for single-cell imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018; 115 (5): 962–7.
- 23. Gregor C, Pape JK, Gwosch KC, Gilat T, Sahl SJ, et al. Autonomous bioluminescence imaging of single mammalian cells with the bacterial bioluminescence system. bioRxiv. 2019: 798108.
- Srinivasan P, Griffin NM, Joshi P, Thakur DP, Nguyen-Le A, et al. An Autonomous Molecular Bioluminescent Reporter (AMBER) for voltage imaging in freely moving animals. bioRxiv. 2019: 845198.
- Purtov KV, Petushkov VN, Baranov MS, Mineev KS, Rodionova NS, et al. The chemical basis of fungal bioluminescence. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2015; 54 (28): 8124–8.
- Mitiouchkina T, Mishin AS, Somermeyer LG, Markina NM, Chepurnyh TV, et al. Plants with self-sustained luminescence. bioRxiv. 2019: 809376.
- Khakhar A, Starker C, Chamness J, Lee N, Stokke S. Building customizable auto-luminescent luciferase-based reporters in plants. bioRxiv. 2019: 809533.