INLB PROTEIN SECRETED BY LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES CONTROLS THE PATHOGEN INTERACTION WITH MACROPHAGES

Chalenko YM¹[™], Abdulkadieva MM², Safarova PV^{1,3}, Kalinin EV¹, Slonova DA⁴, Ermolaeva SA¹

¹ Gamaleya Research Center of Epidemiology and Microbiology, Moscow, Russia

² Joint Institute for High Temperatures, Moscow, Russia

³ Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russia

⁴ Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow, Russia

The virulence of gram-positive bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* depends on its capacity to infect non-professional phagocytes and proliferate inside them. *Listerias monocytogenes* captured by mononuclear phagocytic cells during the infectious process are resistant to lysosomal digestion and can proliferate inside macrophages. Internalin B (InIB), one of the key pathogenicity factors of *L. monocytogenes*, interacts with mammalian receptors c-Met and gC1q-R. For epithelial cells, such interactions with surface receptors promote activation of these receptors and cytoskeletal remodeling, which leads to massive bacterial invasion into non-professional phagocytes. For macrophages, by contrast, nothing is known about the role of InIB in their interactions with *L. monocytogenes* apart from the fact that both receptors are abundantly expressed by macrophages and participate in the development of immune reactions. This study aimed at determination of the potential role of InIB in the interactions between *L. monocytogenes* and macrophages. We found that 1) InIB expression promoted a significant 3.5-fold increase in the rates of *L. monocytogenes* capture by macrophages; 2) the 24 h fold increase in bacterial number inside macrophages constituted 182.5 \pm 16.7, 96 \pm 12 and 13.3 \pm 3 for EGDe Δ inIB; EGDe and EGDe Δ inIB::pInIB strains, respectively; 3) the EGDe Δ inIB::pInIB strain, complemented with a plasmid copy of *inIB*, produced InIB at 3.3-fold higher rates than the type strain EGDe. We conclude that InIB negatively affects the survival of listeria inside macrophages. The results enable advanced understanding of the host-pathogen interactions for *L. monocytogenes*.

Keywords: listeriosis, Listeria monocytogenes, internalin B, innate immunity, human macrophages

Funding: the study was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project number 21-74-00105).

Author contribution: Chalenko YM — research planning, preparation and direct participation in all experiments, data interpretation and manuscript writing; Abdulkadieva MM, Safarova PV — macrophage infection assay; Kalinin EV — InIB expression analysis; Slonova DA — macrophage isolation and differentiation assay; Ermolaeva SA — research planning and manuscript writing.

Compliance with ethical standards: the research was conducted in compliance with the ethical principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Correspondence should be addressed: Yaroslava M. Chalenko

Gamaleya, 18, Moscow, 123098, Russia; yaroslavazaka@yandex.ru

Received: 11.05.2022 Accepted: 03.06.2022 Published online: 24.06.2022

DOI: 10.24075/brsmu.2022.034

БЕЛОК INLB, СЕКРЕТИРУЕМЫЙ *LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES*, КОНТРОЛИРУЕТ ВЗАИМОДЕЙСТВИЕ ВОЗБУДИТЕЛЯ С МАКРОФАГАМИ

Я. М. Чаленко¹²³, М. М. Абдулкадиева², П. В. Сафарова^{1,3}, Е. В. Калинин¹, Д. А. Слонова⁴, С. А. Ермолаева¹

¹ Национальный исследовательский центр эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Н. Ф. Гамалеи, Москва, Россия

² Объединенный институт высоких температур, Москва, Россия

³ Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет имени Н. И. Пирогова, Москва, Россия

⁴ Автономная некоммерческая образовательная организация высшего профессионального образования «Сколковский институт науки и технологий», Москва, Россия

Способность инфицировать и размножаться в непрофессиональных фагоцитах лежит в основе вирулентности грамположительной бактерии *Listeria monocytogenes*. В процессе протекания инфекции захваченные клетками системы мононуклеарных фагоцитов листерии устойчивы к перевариванию и могут размножаться внутри макрофагов. Один из ключевых факторов патогенности *L. monocytogenes* белок интерналин В (InIB) взаимодействует с рецепторами клеток млекопитающих с-Met и gC1qR. При его взаимодействии с рецепторами, находящимися на поверхности эпителиальных клеток, происходит активация рецепторов, перестройки цитоскелета и, как результат, активная инвазия бактерий внутрь непрофессиональных фагоцитов. На сегодняшний день ничего неизвестно о влиянии InIB на взаимодействие *L. monocytogenes* с макрофагами, в то время как оба таргетных рецептора экспрессируются на поверхности макрофагов и вовлечены в развитие иммунных реакций. Целью работы было определить потенциальное влияние InIB на взаимодействие *L. monocytogenes* с макрофагами, в то время как оба таргетных рецептора экспрессируются на поверхности макрофагов и вовлечены в развитие иммунных реакций. Целью работы было определить потенциальное влияние InIB на взаимодействие *L. monocytogenes* с макрофагами, 2) через 24 ч штаммы EGDe∆inIB, EGDe и EGDe∆inIB: pInIB увеличили свою численность внутри макрофагов в 182,5 ± 16,7, 96 ± 12 и 13,3 ± 3 раз соответственно; 3) Штамм EGDe∆inIB, комплементированный плазмидной копией гена *inIB,* продуцировал InIB в 3,3 раза лучше, чем штамм EGDe. Таким образом мы предполагаем, что InIB влияет на выживаемость листерий внутри макрофагов. Полученные результаты углубляют понимание процессов взаимодействия возбудителя с макрофагами.

Ключевые слова: листериоз, Listeria monocytogenes, интерналин В, врожденный иммунитет, макрофаги человека

Финансирование: работа выполнена при поддержке гранта Российского научного фонда (проект № 21-74-00105).

Вклад авторов: Я. М. Чаленко — планирование исследования, подготовка и непосредственное участие во всех экспериментах, интерпретация данных и написание статьи; М. М. Абдулкадиева, П. В. Сафарова — проведение экспериментов по инфицированию макрофагов; Е. В. Калинин — анализ экспрессии InIB; Д. А. Слонова — выделение и дифференцировка макрофагов, С. А. Ермолаева — планирование исследования и написание статьи.

Соблюдение этических стандартов: исследование одобрено этическим комитетом Национального исследовательского центра эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Н. Ф. Гамалеи (протокол № 3 от 26 февраля 2018 г.). Исследование проведено в соответствии с этическими принципами Хельсинкской декларации Всемирной медицинской организации.

🖂 Для корреспонденции: Ярослава Михайловна Чаленко

ул. Гамалеи, д. 18, г. Москва, 123098, Россия; yaroslavazaka@yandex.ru

Статья получена: 11.05.2022 Статья принята к печати: 03.06.2022 Опубликована онлайн: 24.06.2022

DOI: 10.24075/vrgmu.2022.034

The earliest evidence on the existence of Listeria monocytogenes is dated back to 1926 and describes a lethal infection in rabbits accompanied by pronounced monocytosis [1]. The grampositive bacterium *L. monocytogenes* causes listeriosis — a severe systemic disorder in animals and humans [2]. The main clinical symptoms of listeriosis in humans are sepsis, meningitis and meningoencephalitis [3]. Immune defense against *L. monocytogenes* is mainly ensured by innate and cellular adaptive immunity. The first-line effector cells are macrophages. Since *L. monocytogenes* is a facultative intracellular bacterium, it can survive the phagocytosis by mononuclear phagocytes and proliferate inside them.

The critical step in the infectious process is the invasion of L. monocytogenes into non professional phagocytes. This step is mediated by two cell surface proteins of L. monocytogenes internalin A (InIA) and internalin B (InIB). The InIA protein is covalently bound to the bacterial surface, while its interaction with the host target protein E-cadherin mediates cytoskeletal remodeling and bacterial internalization [4]. The InIB protein exists in two forms: one of them is bacterial surface-bound and promotes active invasion of the bacteria into non-professional phagocytic cells; the second form (soluble) is thought to induce the non-specific activation of signaling pathways upon binding the target receptors. The functional role of soluble InIB is still uncertain. Eukaryotic proteins c-Met and gC1q-R have been identified as target receptors for InIB [5, 6]. c-Met is the high-affinity receptor for hepatocyte growth factor (HGF). c-Met activation triggers signaling pathways of cell proliferation and migration, as well as the control of immunity reactions in certain cell types [7]. c-Met is expressed by various epithelial cells and several immune cell lineages: macrophages, monocytes, dendritic cells and T cells [8]. c-Met has been also implicated in shifting macrophage polarization from M1 towards M2-like phenotypes [9].

The second target receptor of InIB is gC1q-R, a ubiquitously expressed protein initially identified as receptor for the globular heads of C1q [10] and subsequently characterized as a multifunctional protein interacting with a wide scope of ligands of endogenous and exogenous origin [11]. The InIB/gC1q-R binding facilitates the invasion of listeria into mammalian cells. Recent studies provide structural and functional details of InIB interactions with its receptors c-Met and gC1q-R and demonstrate the influence of these interactions on the phosphorylation dynamics of PI3K and MAPK signaling cascades in human epithelial cells [12–14]. Similarly with c-Met, gC1q-R is abundantly expressed on the surface of B lymphocytes and macrophages [15].

Thus, both mammalian receptors for InIB participate in multiple signaling pathways that mediate immune responses, which suggests a contribution of InIB to innate immunity through NF- κ B activation and PI3K signaling in macrophages [16]. Indeed, the c-Met/InIB interaction enhanced the migratory capacity of certain immune cell lineages and positively regulated the production of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL6 by peritoneal dendritic cells [17]. However, no experimental evidence on the role of InIB in the interactions between *L. monocytogenes* and macrophages was published so far.

This study aimed to specify the functional role of InIB in the interactions of listeria with human macrophages.

METHODS

Isolation of human macrophages from peripheral blood samples

Human macrophages were differentiated from monocytes isolated from the mononuclear fraction of peripheral blood

obtained from healthy donors. The isolation involved density gradient centrifugation with Ficoll-Paque Premium (HyClone; USA) followed by adhesion [18]. The monocytes were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 6 days in RPMI-1640 medium containing 2% inactivated human serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 10 mM HEPES, 50 μ M β - mercaptoethanol, 2 mM sodium piruvate and 2× MEM vitamin solution (HyClone; USA). On day 1, the medium was supplemented with GM-CSF to 50 ng/mL (SCI-Store; Russia). On day 4, the medium was replaced with a fresh portion and GM-CSF was added to 50 ng/mL. The cells were stained with fluorophore-conjugated primary antibodies to CD11b (APC-Cy7), CD80 (PE-Cy5), CD86 (BV421) and HLA-DR (PE-Cy7) and analyzed by flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter; USA).

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The study used the following strains of *L. monocytogenes*: EGDe (type strain), EGDe∆inIB with chromosomal deletion of inIB and EGDe∆inIB::pInIB containing a plasmid copy of inIB complementing this deletion. The EGDe∆inIB strain was courtesy of Prof. J. Vazquez-Boland of the University of Edinburgh, UK. The InIB-encoding plasmid and the EGDe∆inIB::pInIB strain were described previously [14]. All strains of L. monocytogenes were grown in BHI broth (Becton, Dickinson and Company; USA) at 37 °C and 200 rpm continuous shaking. The strains transformed with InIB expression constructs (complemented) were grown with 10 μ g/mL erythromycin in order to maintain the plasmid. To obtain infectious cultures, the bacteria were grown to the mid-logarithmic phase, ware washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Amresco; USA) and frozen in 100 µL aliquots in the presence of 10% glycerin (Sigma-Aldrich; USA).

Assay of L. monocytogenes capture by macrophages

The macrophages were grown in 24-well plates. The concentrations of bacterial cells in frozen cultures were determined by serial dilution method. The bacteria were added to the macrophages in growth medium at a MOI (multiplicity of infection) ratio of 1 : 100. After 1 h incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ the cells were washed thrice with PBS and placed in DMEM (PanEco; Russia) containing 100 μ g/mL gentamycin (Sigma-Aldrich; USA) to eliminate the extracellular bacteria. After 1 h incubation the cells were washed thoroughly with PBS to remove gentamycin and lysed with 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich; USA). The lysates were plated on BHI agar in serial dilutions. For the complemented strain, the solid medium was supplemented with 10 μ g/mL erythromycin. The capture efficiency was evaluated by the ratio of the number of captured bacteria.

Assay of *L. monocytogenes* intracellular survival in macrophages

After 1 h incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ the cells were washed thrice with PBS and placed in DMEM (PanEco; Russia) containing 100 μ g/mL gentamycin (Sigma-Aldrich; USA) to eliminate the extracellular bacteria. After 1 h incubation at the high gentamycin concentration the cells were washed and placed in fresh DMEM (PanEco; Russia) containing 20 μ g/mL gentamycin (Sigma-Aldrich; USA) to prevent the survival of listerias outside macrophages. The plates were incubated for 24 h (since the start of infection) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. The cells were then washed thrice with PBS) to remove gentamycin and



Fig. 1. Flow cytometry analysis of the macrophages obtained from peripheral blood monocytes of healthy donors. The macrophages were differentiated towards M1 phenotype; the plots show positivity for CD86 (A), CD11b (B), CD80 (C) and HLA-DR (D) markers

lysed by adding 100 μ L of 1% Triton X-100. The lysates were subsequently diluted to 1 mL by adding 900 μ L of PBS and plated on BHI agar in serial dilutions. For the complemented strain, the solid medium was supplemented with 10 μ g/mL erythromycin. The survival efficiency was assessed by the ratio of the number of surviving bacteria to the number of introduced ELISA bacteria.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test systems for InIB expression

The L. monocytogenes strains were grown in BHI for 18 h. The cells were separated from supernatant by centrifugation (4200 rpm, 15 min), washed thrice in PBS and resuspended in 500 µL of carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6). Cell surface expression levels for InIB were measured by direct ELISA. Briefly, a 96-well plate was loaded with sample aliquots, 100 µL per well, incubated overnight at +4 °C, washed with TTBS, three washes 250 µL each, filled with 200 µL of blocking buffer per well and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The HRP-conjugated InIB-specific antibodies were used in 1:4000 dilution, 100 µL per well. After 1 h incubation at room temperature the wells were washed with TTBS, six washes 250 µL each, and the signal was developed with 100 µL of TMB (Thermo Fisher Scientific; USA) per well. The reactions were stopped by adding 100 μ L of 2M H₂SO₄. The optical densities were measured at a wavelength of 450 nm on an iMark microplate absorbance reader (Bio-Rad; USA).

The levels of secreted InIB were measured by sandwich ELISA. Briefly, InIB-specific antibodies (4 µg/mL, diluted in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer pH 9.6) were added to fresh 96-well plate, 100 µL per well, and the plate was incubated overnight at +4 °C. The wells were washed with TTBS, three washes 250 µL each, filled with blocking buffer (2% BSA, 200 µL per well) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The blocking buffer was subsequently replaced with the sample and the plate was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The were subsequently washed with TTBS, three washes 250 µL each, and the HRP-conjugated InIB-specific antibodies were

added (1 : 4000, 100 μ L per well). After 1 h incubation at room temperature the wells were washed with TTBS, six washes 250 μ L each. The signal was developed with TMB (Thermo Fisher Scientific; USA), 100 μ L per well; the reactions were stopped by adding 100 μ L of 2M H₂SO₄. The optical densities were measured at 450 nm in iMark microplate absorbance reader (Bio-Rad; USA). The InIB concentration was determined with the use of calibration curve and recalculated for cell number in a sample.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out in three replicates and at least four repeats. The statistical analysis involved one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test (https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/anova/default2.aspx). The differences were considered significant at p < 0.05 (see Supplementary 1 and 2).

RESULTS

Characterization of differentiated macrophages

Macrophages play an important role in the innate immune responses and participate in stimulation of the immune effector cells differentiation. Macrophages are among the first cells to be infected and largely define the deployment of innate and adaptive immune reactions to *L. monocytogenes*. The macrophages differentiated from peripheral blood monocytes were analyzed by flow cytometry. The analysis revealed surface expression of CD11b, CD80, CD86 and HLA-DR markers characteristic of the pro-inflammatory M1 macrophage phenotypes [19] (Fig. 1). The cells contained large rounded nuclei with heterochromatin located beneath the nuclear membrane, formed numerous surface processes and were firmly adherent to the plastic (Fig. 2).

Phagocytosis of *L. monocytogenes* by macrophages is InIB-dependent

The interaction of bacterial surface-bound InIB with c-Met expressed at the surface of non-professional phagocytes mediates cytoskeletal remodeling within the target eukaryotic cells, leading to formation of phagocytic cup and subsequent internalization of the bacteria. At the same time, the contribution of InIB to L. monocytogenes interactions with professional phagocytes remains unknown. In the first experiment, we analyzed whether the presence of InIB affects the efficiency of L. monocytogenes capture by macrophages. The M1 macrophages were co-incubated with three different strains of L. monocytogenes: the wild-type EGDe, the EGDe∆inIB strain, derived from EGDe by inIB deletion (Δ InIB), and EGDeAinIB::pInIB (AInIB complemented with inIB-containing plasmid). The data indicate that the presence of InIB improves the efficiency of L. monocytogenes capture by macrophages 3.5-fold (Fig. 3). No significant differences between the type strain EGDe and the complemented EGDe∆inIB::pInIB strains were observed in the experiments (Supplementary 1).

Survival of *L. monocytogenes* inside macrophages is InIB-dependent

The effects of InIB on survival of the captured bacteria inside human macrophages were assessed after 24 h incubation, during which the EGDe Δ inIB strain underwent 182.5 \pm 16.7 fold increase in bacterial number, whereas for the type strain EGDe strain the rates were significantly lower (96 \pm 12 fold increase in bacterial number). Remarkably, the EGDe∆inIB::pInIB strain complemented with a plasmid copy of inIB showed the lowest intracellular proliferation rates with a fold increase of 13.3 \pm 3 only (Fig. 4; Supplementary 2). This effect possibly reflected complementation of the strain on the basis of a plasmid that consumed additional cell resources. The assumption was tested by infecting HEp-2 cells with EGDe and EGDe∆inIB::pInIB strains (similarly with the macrophage capture assay). Over 24 h, the numbers of EGDe and EGDe∆inIB::pInIB increased 515.8-fold and 508.9-fold respectively (n = 3), indicating similar intracellular proliferation rates for the type and plasmidcomplemented strains of L. monocytogenes in HEp-2 cells.

In order to explain the differential survival of the type strain EGDe, its inIB-depleted derivative and plasmid-complemented strains in macrophages, we further hypothesized that the dramatically reduced survival of the complemented strain can be associated with the levels of InIB production. The analysis involved ELISA test systems capable of distinguishing between InIB exposed on the bacterial surface and InIB secreted to the culture medium. We found that EGDe and EGDe∆inIB::pInIB expressed similar levels of InIB associated with the cell surface. However, the levels of secreted InIB measured in supernatant for EGDe∆inIB::pInIB were 3.3-fold higher than for the type strain EGDe (Fig. 5). These results support our assumption that *L. monocytogenes* survival and/or proliferation in human macrophages are affected by InIB in a quantitative manner.

DISCUSSION

In this study we show that interactions between *L. monocytogenes* and human macrophages are InIB-dependent: the presence of the pathogenicity factor InIB at the bacterial surface accelerates the capture of listerias by macrophages and interferes with their survival inside macrophages. Moreover, the InIB production levels negatively correlate with *L. monocytogenes* survival inside macrophages.

This finding is consistent with a recent study comparing inIB expression between non-clinical and clinical isolates and demonstrating significantly lower InIB production levels in the latter [20]. In addition, decreased production levels of InIB



Fig. 2. Cell morphology of the M1 macrophages differentiated from peripheral blood monocytes of healthy donors. Red arrows indicate cell surface protrusions. Yellow arrows indicate large rounded nuclei. The images were acquired in an IncuCyte® S3 Live-Cell Imaging System (Sartorius; Göttingen, Germany)



Fig. 3. Capture efficiency of *L. monocytogenes* Δ InIB strain, type strain EGDe and plasmid-complemented Δ InIB (InIB) strain by M1-like phenotype macrophages, **p < 0.01 (n = 4).





Fig. 4. Proliferation of *L. monocytogenes* Δ InIB strain, type strain EGDe and plasmid-complemented Δ InIB (InIB) strain inside M1-like phenotype macrophages over 24 h infection, * — *p* < 0.05, ** — *p* < 0.01 (*n* = 4).

were associated with decreased production of IL8 by nonprofessional phagocytes [20]. The authors suggest that the reduced IL8-inducing capacity of clinical strains may represent an immunity evasion mechanism that comes at a price of reduced efficiency of bacterial invasion into non-professional phagocytes [20]. This view is supported by our finding that higher levels of InIB production negatively affect the survival of listeria inside human macrophages.

Which of the two target receptors of InIB is responsible for this effect is still elusive. The activation of c-Met by its physiological ligand, HGF, promotes an M1-to-M2 shift in macrophage phenotypes [9]. The InIB/c-Met interaction imitates the activity of HGF, leading to NF- κ B activation and triggering of PI3K and MAPK pathways in various cell types including macrophages [14, 16]. The interaction of c-Met with InIB can enhance the motility of certain immune cell types. According to recent studies, this interaction facilitates secretion of the proinflammatory cytokine IL6 by peritoneal dendritic cells [16]. The dose-dependent effect of InIB on bacterial survival observed by us in the macrophage capture assay may indicate a contribution of intracellular receptors. A candidate intracellular receptor for InIB is gC1q-R, which has been attributed with both extra- and intracellular localization. The existence of other, as yet undescribed intracellular receptors for InIB cannot be excluded as well.

Overall, our results demonstrate a negative role of InIB in the innate immunity evasion by listerias. At the same time, InIB is required for the full-scale invasion of listerias into nonprofessional phagocytic cells. The balance of bacterial-host interactions between immune cells and principal target cells of the pathogen may define the degree of virulence for different strains of *L. monocytogenes*.

CONCLUSIONS

This study focused on the effects of InIB, the pathogenicity factor of *L. monocytogenes*, on the interactions of listerias with human macrophages. In our experiments, InIB significantly enhanced the capture of listerias by macrophages while inhibiting the survival/proliferation of listerias inside macrophages. Thus, we for the first time demonstrate a negative impact of InIB on the

References

- Murray E, Webb R, Swann M. A disease of rabbits characterized by a large mononuclear monocytosis, caused by a hitherto undescribed bacillus Bacterium monocytogenes. J Pathol Bacteriol. 1926; 29: 407–39.
- Vázquez-boland J, Kuhn M, Berche P, Chakraborty T, Domi G, González-zorn B, et al. Listeria Pathogenesis and Molecular Virulence Determinants Listeria Pathogenesis and Molecular Virulence Determinants. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001; 14: 584–640.
- 3. Allerberger F, Wagner M. Listeriosis: A resurgent foodborne infection. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010; 16: 16–23.
- Braun L, Cossart P. Interactions between Listeria monocytogenes and host mammalian cells. Microbes Infect. 2000; 2: 803–11.
- Shen Y, Naujokas M, Park M, Ireton K. InIB-dependent internalization of Listeria is mediated by the Met receptor tyrosine kinase. Cell. 2000; 103: 501–10.
- Cossart P. Met, the HGF-SF receptor: another receptor for Listeria monocytogenes. Trends Microbiol. 2001; 9: 105–7.
- Organ S, Tsao M. An overview of the c-MET signaling pathway. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2011; 3: 7–9.
- Chen Q, DeFrances MC, Zarnegar R. Induction of Met protooncogene (hepatocyte growth factor receptor) expression during human monocyte-macrophage differentiation. Cell Growth Differ. 1996; 7: 821–32.
- Nishikoba N, Kumagai K, Kanmura K, Nakamura Y, Ono M, Eguchi H, et al. HGF-MET Signaling Shifts M1 Macrophages Toward an M2-Like Phenotype Through PI3K-Mediated Induction of Arginase-1 Expression. Front Immunol. 2020; 11: 1–10.
- Ghebrehiwet B, Lim B, Peerschke E, Willis A, Reid K. Isolation, cDNA cloning, and overexpression of a 33-kDa cell surface glycoprotein that binds to the globular heads of C1q. J Exp Med. 1994; 179: 1809–21.
- Ghebrehiwet B, Peerschke E. Structure and function of gC1q-R a multiligand binding membrane protein. Immunobiology. 1998; 199: 225–38.
- 12. Chalenko Y, Kalinin E, Marchenkov V, Sysolyatina E, Surin A,



Fig. 5. Production levels of InIB protein in 18 h cultures of *L. monocytogenes*. The Δ InIB cultures contain no InIB protein; the type strain EGDe cultures contain 149.2 ± 13.3 ng/mL of InIB bound to the bacterial surface and 187.3 ± 9.8 ng/mL of InIB in the supernatant; the plasmid-complemented Δ InIB (InIB) cultures contain 100.7 ± 4.2 ng/mL of InIB bound to the bacterial surface and 614.6 ± 23 ng/mL of InIB in the supernatant, ** — p < 0.01 (n = 3)

innate immunity evasion by listerias. The balance between this newly observed effect of InIB and its decisive positive role in the infectivity of *L. monocytogenes* towards non-professional phagocytes, along with the mechanisms that evolved to maintain this balance, should be considered in detail in order to advance the understanding of pathogen-host interactions for different cell types of the body.

Sobyanin K, et al. Phylogenetically Defined Isoforms of Listeria monocytogenes Invasion Factor InIB Differently Activate Intracellular Signaling Pathways and Interact with the Receptor gC1q-R. Int J Mol Sci. 2019; 20: 1–15.

- ChalenkoY, Kolbasova O, Pivova E, Abdulkadieva M, Povolyaeva O, Kalinin E. Listeria monocytogenes Invasion Into Sheep Kidney Epithelial Cells Depends on InIB, and Invasion Efficiency Is Modulated by Phylogenetically Defined InIB Isoforms. Front Microbiol. 2022; 13: 1–14.
- Sobyanin K, Sysolyatina E, Krivozubov M, Chalenko Y, Karyagina A, Ermolaeva S. Naturally occurring InIB variants that support intragastric Listeria monocytogenes infection in mice. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2017: 1; 1–8.
- Nepomuceno R, Tenner A. C1qRP, the C1q receptor that enhances phagocytosis, is detected specifically in human cells of myeloid lineage, endothelial cells, and platelets. J Immunol. 1998; 160: 1929–35.
- Mansell A, Braun L, Cossart P, O'Neill L. A novel function of InIB from Listeria monocytogenes: activation of NF-kappaB in J774 macrophages. Cell Microbiol. 2000; 2: 127–36.
- Calame D, Mueller-Ortiz S, Wetsel R. Innate and adaptive immunologic functions of complement in the host response to Listeria monocytogenes infection. Immunobiology. 2016; 221: 1407–17.
- Fuss I, Kanof M, Smith P, Zola H. Isolation of whole mononuclear cells from peripheral blood and cord blood. Curr Protoc Immunol. 2009; 85: 1.1–7.1.8.
- Huang X, Li Y, Fu M, Xin H. Polarizing Macrophages In Vitro. Germain Rousselet (ed.), Macrophages: Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology. 2018; 1784: 119–26.
- Werbrouck H, Grijspeerdt K, Botteldoorn N, Pamel E, Rijpens N, Damme J, et al. Differential inIA and inIB Expression and Interaction with Human Intestinal and Liver Cells by Listeria monocytogenes Strains of Different Origins. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72 (6): 3862–71.

Литература

- Murray E, Webb R, Swann M. A disease of rabbits characterized by a large mononuclear monocytosis, caused by a hitherto undescribed bacillus Bacterium monocytogenes. J Pathol Bacteriol. 1926; 29: 407–39.
- Vázquez-boland J, Kuhn M, Berche P, Chakraborty T, Domi G, González-zorn B, et al. Listeria Pathogenesis and Molecular Virulence Determinants Listeria Pathogenesis and Molecular Virulence Determinants. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001; 14: 584–640.
- 3. Allerberger F, Wagner M. Listeriosis: A resurgent foodborne infection. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010; 16: 16–23.
- Braun L, Cossart P. Interactions between Listeria monocytogenes and host mammalian cells. Microbes Infect. 2000; 2: 803–11.
- Shen Y, Naujokas M, Park M, Ireton K. InIB-dependent internalization of Listeria is mediated by the Met receptor tyrosine kinase. Cell. 2000; 103: 501–10.
- Cossart P. Met, the HGF-SF receptor: another receptor for Listeria monocytogenes. Trends Microbiol. 2001; 9: 105–7.
- 7. Organ S, Tsao M. An overview of the c-MET signaling pathway. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2011; 3: 7–9.
- Chen Q, DeFrances MC, Zarnegar R. Induction of Met protooncogene (hepatocyte growth factor receptor) expression during human monocyte-macrophage differentiation. Cell Growth Differ. 1996; 7: 821–32.
- Nishikoba N, Kumagai K, Kanmura K, Nakamura Y, Ono M, Eguchi H, et al. HGF-MET Signaling Shifts M1 Macrophages Toward an M2-Like Phenotype Through PI3K-Mediated Induction of Arginase-1 Expression. Front Immunol. 2020; 11: 1–10.
- Ghebrehiwet B, Lim B, Peerschke E, Willis A, Reid K. Isolation, cDNA cloning, and overexpression of a 33-kDa cell surface glycoprotein that binds to the globular heads of C1q. J Exp Med. 1994; 179: 1809–21.
- Ghebrehiwet B, Peerschke E. Structure and function of gC1q-R a multiligand binding membrane protein. Immunobiology. 1998; 199: 225–38.
- 12. Chalenko Y, Kalinin E, Marchenkov V, Sysolyatina E, Surin A,

Sobyanin K, et al. Phylogenetically Defined Isoforms of Listeria monocytogenes Invasion Factor InIB Differently Activate Intracellular Signaling Pathways and Interact with the Receptor gC1q-R. Int J Mol Sci. 2019; 20: 1–15.

- ChalenkoY, Kolbasova O, Pivova E, Abdulkadieva M, Povolyaeva O, Kalinin E. Listeria monocytogenes Invasion Into Sheep Kidney Epithelial Cells Depends on InIB, and Invasion Efficiency Is Modulated by Phylogenetically Defined InIB Isoforms. Front Microbiol. 2022; 13: 1–14.
- Sobyanin K, Sysolyatina E, Krivozubov M, Chalenko Y, Karyagina A, Ermolaeva S. Naturally occurring InIB variants that support intragastric Listeria monocytogenes infection in mice. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2017: 1; 1–8.
- Nepomuceno R, Tenner A. C1qRP, the C1q receptor that enhances phagocytosis, is detected specifically in human cells of myeloid lineage, endothelial cells, and platelets. J Immunol. 1998; 160: 1929–35.
- Mansell A, Braun L, Cossart P, O'Neill L. A novel function of InIB from Listeria monocytogenes: activation of NF-kappaB in J774 macrophages. Cell Microbiol. 2000; 2: 127–36.
- Calame D, Mueller-Ortiz S, Wetsel R. Innate and adaptive immunologic functions of complement in the host response to Listeria monocytogenes infection. Immunobiology. 2016; 221: 1407–17.
- Fuss I, Kanof M, Smith P, Zola H. Isolation of whole mononuclear cells from peripheral blood and cord blood. Curr Protoc Immunol. 2009; 85: 1.1–7.1.8.
- Huang X, Li Y, Fu M, Xin H. Polarizing Macrophages In Vitro. Germain Rousselet (ed.), Macrophages: Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology. 2018; 1784: 119–26.
- Werbrouck H, Grijspeerdt K, Botteldoorn N, Pamel E, Rijpens N, Damme J, et al. Differential inIA and inIB Expression and Interaction with Human Intestinal and Liver Cells by Listeria monocytogenes Strains of Different Origins. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72 (6): 3862–71.